



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,769	04/19/2000	DO-HYOUNG KIM	Q57164	1355
75	90 03/31/2004	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			PEYTON, TAMMARA R	
	N, DC 20037-3202	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2182	10
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

•				
<u>.</u> ?		Application No.	Applicant(s)	X
•		09/445,769	KIM, DO-HYOUNG	•
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tammara R Peyton	2182	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence addres	S
A SHOP THE MA - Extension after SI2 - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion or eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state y received by the Office later than three months after the material term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimur od will apply and will expire SIX ( tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$	6 November 2003 .		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und			erits is
<u> </u>	laim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion		
•	) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		, n	
	laim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	laim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
<u> </u>	laim(s) 11 is/are objected to.			
·	laim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requireme	nt.	
Application		•		
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	•	
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) Objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • •		
11) <u></u> Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b	o) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) <u> </u>	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) <u></u>	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1.	☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	d.	
2	☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been receive	d in Application No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	<sub>l</sub> e
14) <u></u> Acl	knowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
a) [	☐ The translation of the foreign language knowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application	has been received.	·
Attachment(s	•	,,		
1) Notice of	, of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 ner:	

Art Unit: 2182

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 11 is a duplicate of claim 9. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mano et al.*, (US 5,793,366) in view of *Lawande et al.*, (U.S. 6,405,247).
- 2. As per claims 1, 4-7, *Mano* teaches a method for displaying changes in operation states of network devices on a display screen of a client device which operates as a client in a network (via IEEE 1394) where various digital devices connected to the network operate as the client or as servers (depending on specific operations), the method comprising the steps of:

م -دغ Application/Control Number: 09/445,769 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

 establishing a communication channel by the client device with respect to server devices; (obvious in 1394 plug and play)

- receiving a signal that indicates changes in the operation states of the server devices from the server device(s) by the client device (col. 5, lines 7-16) and displaying the change in the operation state of a specific device on a screen thereof. (Abstract, col. 2, lines 61- col. 3, lines 1-31, col.4, lines 35-col. 5, lines 1-5 and 18-53, col. 7, lines 35-col. 8, lines 1-46)
- 3. Mano teaches a computer network with GUI that displays animated images representing devices coupled to a bus structure. The GUI will recognize signals from the various digital devices referring to a change in the operation state of at least one digital device and displaying the change in the operation state on a screen. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that Mano's method of displaying the changes of the operation state of the devices on a screen is trigged by a predetermined signal, such a signal would give the user the ability to monitor the activity of the connected devices in real-time. However, Mano is silent in respect to a network having various digital devices connected to the network as a client or servers and the devices having a protocol layer as an Internet protocol stack on the upper network communication layer.
- 4. Lawande teaches a method and apparatus for operating the Internet protocol over a high-speed serial bus (IEEE 1394) so as to allow different modules to be inserted or removed from the network without disturbing the on-going traffic on the system (col.

Application/Control Number: 09/445,769

Art Unit: 2182

16, lines 8-38). Lawande has not explicitly disclosed the HTTP (Hypertext transmission protocol) as the client/server protocol. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use HTTP as a client/server protocol in Lawande because Lawande uses TCP/IP Internet protocols and HTTP is used probably exclusively with the TCP (Transmission Control Protocol) at the time the invention was made. The ability to insert or remove different modules from the network without disturbing the on-going traffic on the system would have motivated one skilled in the art to integrate the IEEE 1394 protocol as taught by Mano with the IP protocol in which the IEEE 1394 high speed serial bus operates.

Page 4

- 5. As per claim 2, *Mano* teaches of detecting the presence of the client device by monitoring said communication channel that determines that a new device has just been coupled to the bus. *Mano* obviously teaches wherein the client device establishes with respect to the server device via a polling method. (col. 5, lines 7-16)
- 5. As per claim 3, *Mano* teaches of the client device establishing the communication channel with respect to the server device. However, *Mano* does not teach the use of a Java applet. Nonetheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that Java applet applications are well known in the art. Further, *Mano* teaches of using GUIs that provides real-time displays of animated images representing devices coupled to a bus structure. Therefore, *Mano* would have been motivated to implement Java applets into

on/Control Number: 00/

Art Unit: 2182

the GUI in order to expand the flexibility of *Mano's* real-time displays of devices coupled to the bus structure.

6. As per claims 8 -10, *Mano* teaches wherein said operation states comprise at least one play, tray-open, pause, and stop.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammara Peyton whose telephone number is (703) \_ 306-5508. The examiner can normally be reached between 6:30 - 4:00 from Monday to Thursday, (I am off every first Friday), and 6:30-3:00 every second Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mailed responses to this action should be sent to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Art Unit: 2182

Faxes for Official/formal (After Final) communications or for informal or draft communications (please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") sent to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

USTPO, 2011 South Clark Place, Customer Window Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby Room 1B03, Arlington, VA, 22202Crystal Park II, 2121.

Tammara Peyton

March 29, 2004